

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/698,837 | 10/31/2003 | Cynthia H. Polsky | 109263-132220 | 1277 | | |
| 25943 | 7590 07/07/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| | , WILLIAMSON & WYA | ROSE, KI | ROSE, KIESHA L | | | |
| | CENTER, SUITE 1900 TH AVENUE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| PORTLAND | , OR 97204 | 2822 | | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006 | | |

· Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | | ١ |
|---|--|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/698,837 | POLSKY ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Kiesha L. Rose | 2822 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | | in the final rejection wh | iehovenio lotos. Je |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compared to the set of th | stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. Diliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | of the fee. The appropring the feet in the final Office of the final rejection, of the final within two months. | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | | | e appeal. Since |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rei | acted claims | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected ciaims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. $\overline{\boxtimes}$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | • | ` |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended. | l be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | 41-6 | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an | ut before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered sinecessary and |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: ____.

was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the applicant's arguments in regards to the 112 2nd rejection of claims 6-9 and 11-12 regarding the negative limitation "without a wire stem is found to be persuasive.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments in regards to the 112 1st rejection regarding the new matter of "without a wire stem" is not found to be persuasive. Applicant argues that the Paragraphs 0040-0045 and 0009 of the original specification disclose this new limitation. As seen in these paragraphs there is nothing that discloses that there is no wire stem or what is being argued. In addition, applicant states that Figs. 5 and 6 show there is not wire stem and as stated in the previous office action there are plenty of other elements that could be found in those figures it does not mean that they can be claimed. Therefore the arguments presented are not found to be persuasive and the 112 1st new matter rejection stands.

Zandra V. Smith

Supervisory Patent Examiner

27 Jule 2000